

**TRANSPAC Transportation Partnership and Cooperation**  
Clayton, Concord, Martinez, Pleasant Hill, Walnut Creek, and Contra Costa County

**TRANSPAC TAC MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA**

**THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2022**

**9:00 A.M. to 11:00 A.M.**

**TELECONFERENCING SPECIAL NOTICE – PUBLIC MEETING  
GUIDELINES FOR PARTICIPATING VIA PHONE/VIDEO  
CONFERENCE**

Pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act provisions under Assembly Bill 361, which went into effect on October 1, 2021, meetings of the TRANSPAC Board and TAC will be held utilizing video and teleconference as the State and County continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing. Options for observing the meeting and participating in public comment are provided below:

**Video Conference Access:** Please click the link at the noticed meeting time

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88127430784?pwd=L0pQcUNkWDhVbTB0OXc2anYrdkJWdz09>

Meeting ID: 881 2743 0784 and Password: 443154

**Phone Access:** To observe the meeting by phone, please call at the noticed meeting time 1 (669) 900 6883, then enter the Meeting ID: 881 2743 0784 and Password: 443154

**Public Comments:** Public comment may be provided by submitting written comments to [tiffany@graybowenscott.com](mailto:tiffany@graybowenscott.com) by 3 p.m. on the day before the meeting, which will be read during Public Comment or on the related item when Public Comment is called and entered into the record. To comment by video conference, click the “Raise Your Hand” button to request to speak when the Public Comment period is opened on an Agenda item. After the allotted time, you will then be requested to mute your microphone. To comment by phone, indicate the “Raise Your Hand” icon by pressing “\*9” to request to speak when the public comment is opened on an Agenda item. After the allotted time, you will then be requested to mute your microphone. Please begin by stating your name and indicate whether you are speaking for yourself or an organization.

**Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA):** This agenda is available upon request in alternative formats to persons with a disability, as required by the ADA of 1990 (42 U.S.C. §12132) and the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Govt. Code §54954.2). Persons requesting a disability related modification or accommodation should contact TRANSPAC via email or phone at [tiffany@graybowenscott.com](mailto:tiffany@graybowenscott.com) or (925) 937-0980 during regular business hours at least 48 hours prior to the time of the meeting.

1. **CONVENE MEETING / VIRTUAL MEETING ACCESS GUIDELINES / SELF-INTRODUCTIONS.**
2. **PUBLIC COMMENT.** Members of the public may address the Committee on any item not on the agenda.
3. **Minutes of the October 27, 2022 Meeting** 🌀 **Page 5**

**ACTION RECOMMENDATION: Approve Minutes.**

Attachments: TAC minutes from the, October 27, 2022 meeting.

4. **DRAFT CENTRAL COUNTY ACTION PLAN.** The Central County Action Plan is intended to address the key transportation issues that Central County will face over the next long-range period (i.e. about twenty-five years). Action plans for each subregion of the county were developed through the cooperative, multi-jurisdictional planning process included with Measure J. The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) has secured the services of a team of consultants lead by Placeworks to assist TRANSPAC to update the Plan. At this meeting, CCTA and Placeworks staff will present the Draft Central County Action Plan for approval. (INFORMATION) 🌀 **Page 9**

Attachment: Staff Report

5. **2023 TRANSPAC MEETING CALENDAR.** The TRANSPAC TAC is requested to review and comment on the proposed meeting schedule. (INFORMATION) 🌀 **Page 11**

Attachment: Staff Report

**6. COMMITTEE UPDATES:**

- a. **Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC):** The TCC meeting scheduled for October 20, 2022 was canceled. The next regular meeting is scheduled for November 17, 2022.
- b. **Countywide Bicycle & Pedestrian Advisory Committee (CBPAC):** The next regular meeting is scheduled for November 28, 2022.
- c. **Paratransit Coordinating Council (PCC):** The next regular meeting is scheduled for November 21, 2022.

**7. INFORMATION ITEMS:**

- a. **GRANT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES.** This agenda item is intended to provide an opportunity to review and discuss grant opportunities. Additional information will be available at the meeting. (INFORMATION). 🌀 **Page 13**
- b. **CONTRA COSTA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (CCTA) MEETING CALENDAR:**  
The CCTA Calendar for November 2022 to January 2023, may be downloaded at:  
<https://ccta.primegov.com/Portal/viewer?id=19038&type=2>

**8. MEMBER COMMENTS**

**9. NEXT MEETING: JANUARY 26, 2023**

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK**

## TRANSPAC TAC Meeting Summary Minutes

**MEETING DATE:** October 27, 2022

**STAFF PRESENT:** Andy Smith, Walnut Creek; John Cunningham, Contra Costa County; Dana Ayers, Clayton; Esther Suh, BART; Jason Chen, Clayton; Melody Reeb, County Connection; Saravana Suthanthira, Concord; Ali Hatefi, Martinez; Matt Todd, TRANSPAC Managing Director; and Tiffany Gephart, TRANSPAC Clerk

;

**GUESTS/PRESENTERS:** Matt Kelly, CCTA; John Hoang, CCTA; Colin Clarke, CCTA; Torina Wilson, Placeworks; Charlie Knox, Placeworks; Raul Tovar, Placeworks; Martin Engelmann, Stantec

**MINUTES PREPARED BY:** Tiffany Gephart

### **1. Convene Regular Meeting / Pledge of Allegiance / Self-Introductions**

Matt Todd called the meeting to order at 9:00 A.M. Introductions followed.

### **2. Public Comments**

There were no comments from the public.

### **3. Minutes of the September 29, 2022 Meeting**

Andy Smith requested a clarification to paragraph 2 of the minutes. Mr. Smith noted that the DPMT ridership assumptions cited half of East County commuters will utilize DPMT and that the ridership numbers were inconsistent with current BART ridership and capacity.

The minutes of the September 29, 2022 meeting were approved, as amended, by consensus.

### **4. MEASURE J LINE 20A FUNDS PROGRAM – FY 2021/2022 PROGRESS REPORT**

Tiffany Gephart introduced the item. Ms. Gephart provided summary of the Measure J Line 20a Progress report data included in the packet.

Mr. Cunningham thanked TRANSPAC staff for the comprehensive report and this type of information will be sought in the future when work is being done to implement the Accessible Transportation Strategic Plan.

Andy Smith commented that the annual unique individuals served number was high for the Golden Rain Foundation/Rossmoor Greenline. Ms. Gephart commented that she would review the number with Rossmoor program staff.

## 5. DRAFT CENTRAL COUNTY ACTION PLAN.

Matt Kelly introduced the item. Mr. Kelly noted that once the Action Plan is approved, it will be included in the Countywide Transportation Plan (CTP).

Mr. Todd noted the Draft Action Plan will be included on the agenda at the next TAC meeting for an opportunity for further comment prior to Board approval.

Torina Wilson provided a Power Point presentation and reviewed the chapters and topics in the Draft Action Plan. The full Action Plan was included at [transpac.us](https://transpac.us) under additional information for the October 27, 2022 TAC meeting.

Mr. Cunningham thanked project staff for including the Olympic Corridor Connector project more prominently in the Action Plan. Mr. Cunningham asked if the Plan captures the expansion of BART station multimodal catchment areas due to the increasing popularity of e-bikes. Ms. Wilson commented that they included discussion of accommodating areas for E-bike/scooter share lockers and/or creating space for them and general promotion. Mr. Kelly commented that the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC), Transit Priority Areas (TPA) were used as a predefined radius around BART stations and transit stations. However, the expanded radius was not included in the Action Plan. Mr. Kelly further noted that this may be something to address in the CTP.

Jason Chen noted that a contact for Martinez is not included on the acknowledgement page. Ms. Wilson commented that she would fix that and clarified which member should be included. Staff commented Lynne Filson. Mr. Chen will be listed as the Clayton representative.

Saravana Suthanthira thanked project staff for working specifically with Concord.

Mr. Smith complimented the production of Action Plan document and thanked project staff for their work.

Ms. Wilson opened the discussion for chapter-by-chapter comments.

Ms. Suthanthira commented that the cover page photos should reflect multi-modal travel. Ms. Wilson asked the group for any images they recommend that can be replaced in the Plan.

Mr. Chen commented that in Figure 2-2, 2-3 and 2-4 that the callout boxes in the line graph all refer to "population" but only Figure 2-2 is about population growth. Ms. Wilson noted the error.

Mr. Smith commented on the asterisk regarding Marsh Creek Road, and request it be added to the map itself. He further commented that this would be a good practice for all similar footnotes.

Ms. Wilson commented that staff can replace the image with an 11x17 higher quality PDF version and may use a different symbol than the asterisk.

Ms. Suthanthira commented on Figure 4-1 and how the Bicycle and Pedestrian corridors are included along with SR-4 as an example. Ms. Wilson noted that the maps are highly generalized and commented that staff included multi-use trails within a certain area generalized as a broader SR-4 corridor. The goal is not to give the reader the impression that the intent is to build a protected bikeway on SR-4 for example. Ms. Suthanthira noted that there could be a disclaimer to call this out. Ms. Wilson commented that there is a note that the corridors are generalized and notes the more detailed map figure. Ms.

Wilson noted that the final version will include a higher quality image where the notes will be more readable.

Mr. Chen commented that a paragraph about the footers could be included in the body of text in the chapter so that it is prominent.

Mr. Todd asked about Ygnacio Valley Road Corridor and if the title is captured appropriately. There was some discussion around the labeling of the corridor. Ms. Wilson concluded that enlarging the map to an 11x17 high quality pdf will take care of the main concerns and then adding a note to the map regarding Marsh Creek Road. Ms. Wilson further noted that if there are continuing concerns the issue can be revisited.

Ms. Ayers commented that there is some introductory text on page 33 about the generalized nature of the maps and text that can be added to the existing text regarding the Regional Routes and that not every route is listed.

Mr. Smith commented on page 43 and page 88 referencing 15-minute headways. Mr. Smith noted that 20 to 30-minute headways are more accurate in Walnut Creek. Ms. Reeb commented that Route 4 is on 15-minute headways but otherwise 20 minutes is more accurate. Mr. Todd asked if the map is based on peak hour service or all-day service. Ms. Wilson commented that she would check the data.

Ms. Suthanthira commented that the Routes of Regional Significance maps should be shown for each mode and that the naming conventions should be consistent. She noted that Figure 6-1 for example shows the status of low-stress bike network, so that could be relabeled as Figure 6-2 for example and Figure 6-1 could be Routes of Regional Significance for bicycles.

Mr. Chen asked if in Chapter 6, RTO-2, that the 2027 target be 68% (not 33%) as indicated in the last paragraph on page 51. Ms. Wilson confirmed the error. Mr. Chen further asked that the 2050 goal of 100% seemed very high. Ms. Wilson noted that a similar comment has been raised elsewhere and noted that there is not penalty for not reaching 100% but this is desired future conditions. Ms. Wilson noted that if the TAC recommends a specific number below 100% it can be included.

Mr. Todd commented on the map on Figure 6-1 that Ygnacio Valley Road is incorrectly listed as an existing low-stress facility. Mr. Smith commented that Olympic Blvd through Lafayette and between the freeway and South California Blvd is a desired low-stress facility. Mr. Smith noted that there is a non-low-stress project planned.

Mr. Kelly requested that the detailed comments also be submitted in writing to the project staff. Ms. Suthanthira agreed and asked if staff could provide the updated map with the comments incorporated for an additional review.

Ms. Suthanthira asked about the targets and what happens if targets are not reached. Mr. Kelly commented that as part of the Growth Management Plan, there is a checklist to document local implementation of the Action Plan and the progress of implementation. Ms. Suthanthira commented that the requirement then is to make progress towards the Actions. Mr. Kelly confirmed.

Ms. Suthanthira commented on the Chapter cover image and noted that the image doesn't convey the right message. Ms. Wilson reiterated that staff would welcome other photos from the jurisdictions.

Mr. Todd commented the project team should attend the next TAC meeting on the 17<sup>th</sup>. Ms. Wilson commented that staff will provide the comments received and TAC members can note any additional considerations.

Ms. Ayers asked to clarify why the RTO's collisions is different for Equity Priority Communities (EPCs). Ms. Wilson clarified that the goal across the board is zero, but the RTO is worded so that issues can be noted in EPCs versus not in EPCs. Ms. Wilson commented that staff can provide a sentence to clarify that the goal is zero and why the RTO is worded in that way and what the benefit is.

**7. COMMITTEE UPDATES**

There were not comments from the TAC.

**8. INFORMATION ITEMS**

There were not comments from the TAC.

**9. MEMBER COMMENTS**

There were not comments from the TAC

**10. ADJOURN / NEXT MEETING** The next regular meeting is scheduled for November 17, 2022 at 9:00 A.M.



---

## TRANSPAC TAC Meeting **STAFF REPORT**

**Meeting Date:** November 17, 2022

<b>Subject:</b>	<b>DRAFT CENTRAL COUNTY ACTION PLAN</b>
<b>Summary of Issues</b>	The Central County Action Plan is intended to address the key transportation issues that Central County will face over the next long-range period (i.e., about twenty-five years). Action plans for each subregion of the county were developed through the cooperative, multi-jurisdictional planning process included with Measure J. The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) has secured the services of a team of consultants lead by Placeworks to assist TRANSPAC to update the Plan. At this meeting, the project team will present the Draft Central County Action Plan for TAC comment.
<b>Recommendation</b>	None – this item is for information only
<b>Attachment(s)</b>	A. 2022 Draft Central County Action Plan [ <a href="#">LINK</a> ] B. 2017 Central County Action Plan [ <a href="#">LINK</a> ]

### Background

The CCTA has initiated the RTPC Action Plan Updates in FY 2021/2022. The Central County Action Plan is intended to address the key transportation issues that Central County will face over the next long-range period (i.e. about twenty five years). The CCTA procured Placeworks consultant team to lead TRANSPAC in a discussion to evaluate aspects of the existing Central County Action Plan.

The following is a timeline of TRANSPAC Action Plan discussions to date:

- February 2022 – project staff solicited feedback on proposed changes to existing Multi-modal Transportation Objectives (MTSOs) for inclusion in updated Action Plans as Regional Transportation Objectives (RTOs). A working draft of Action Plan goals and Routes of Regional Significance (including regional transit routes and bicycle and pedestrian facilities) was also provided for committee input.
- March 2022 – project staff provided an update on revisions to the Routes of Regional Significance and maps based on prior committee feedback and collected feedback from the TAC on the revised maps.

- April 2022 – project staff clarified the Route of Regional Significance designation and subsequent impacts. This was related to interest of the TRANSPLAN RTPC to identify Marsh Creek Road as Route of Regional Significance.
- July / August 2022 – project staff provided a presentation and facilitated discussion on the proposed Regional Transportation Objectives (RTOs) and proposed actions for the Central County Action Plan update as well as a brief discussion of Corridor Maps.
- October /November 2022 – project staff presented the Draft Central County Action Plan for comment.

At this meeting, the Draft Action Plan will be presented for further review and comment, picking up on the discussion started at the October TRANSPAC TAC meeting. CCTA Project staff have revised the schedule and are proposing to release a final draft version of the Action Plan in December and to request approval of the final Action Plan at the February, 2023 TRANSPAC Board meeting. Upon approval of the Action Plan by the TRANSPAC Board, the CCTA Board will be requested to approve the TRANSPAC Action Plan (as well as the other RTPC Action Plans).

---

**TRANSPAC TAC Meeting *STAFF REPORT***

**Meeting Date:** November 17, 2022

<b>Subject:</b>	<b>2023 TRANSPAC MEETING CALENDAR</b>
<b>Summary of Issues</b>	The TRANSPAC TAC is requested to review and comment on the proposed meeting schedule. The Draft schedule will be presented to the TRANSPAC Board in December for approval.
<b>Recommendations</b>	None - For information only
<b>Attachment(s)</b>	A. Draft 2023 Meeting Schedule

---

# TRANSPAC Transportation Partnership and Cooperation

## 2023 MEETING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act provisions under Assembly Bill 361, which went into effect on October 1, 2021, TRANSPAC meetings are currently being conducted as a teleconference and a physical location is not provided. In the event in person meetings are resumed, meetings are held at 9:00 A.M. at Pleasant Hill City Hall, Community Room (100 Gregory Lane, Pleasant Hill, California) unless otherwise specified.

### TRANSPAC Meetings

Regular meetings are on the second Thursday of every month or as notified. Other meetings as scheduled.

January (No meeting)	July 13
February 9	August (No meeting)
March 9	September 14
April 13	October 12
May 11	November 9
June 8	December 14

### TAC Meetings

Regular meetings are on the last Thursday of every month or as notified.

January 26	July (No Meeting)
February 23	August 31*
March 30*	September 28
April 27	October 26
May 25	November 30*
June 29*	December (No meeting)

\* 5<sup>th</sup> Thursday of the Month

<b>Funding Opportunities Summary – 10/28/2022</b>
---

### Upcoming Funding Opportunities

Funding Program	Fund Source	Application Deadlines	Program and Contact Info
<b>California's Hybrid and Zero-Emission Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP)</b>	S	Open until Funding is Unavailable.	Starting March 30, 2022, nearly \$430 million are available across standard HVIP and set-aside funds for public transit buses, class 8 tractors performing drayage operations, and school buses. Requests are first-come, first-served. <a href="https://californiahvip.org/funding/">https://californiahvip.org/funding/</a>
<b>Tire-Derived Aggregate (T-DA) Grant Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-23</b>	S	August 17, 2022 and December 7, 2022	The Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery administers the T-DA Program to provide opportunities to divert waste tires from landfill disposal, prevent illegal tire dumping, and promote markets for recycled-content tire products. \$750,000 is available for FY 2022-23. \$375,000 is the maximum available for individual grant awards. <a href="https://calrecycle.ca.gov/tires/grants/tda/fy202223/">https://calrecycle.ca.gov/tires/grants/tda/fy202223/</a>
<b>Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Cycle 24</b>	F	November 23, 2022 by 4:00 PM	The Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC) P-TAP uses federal dollars to help Bay Area cities and counties stretch their road budgets by: implementing, updating, and maintaining pavement management databases; providing accurate pavement condition data; providing support to the region's management of non-pavement street and road assets. <a href="https://ptap.streetsaver.com/">https://ptap.streetsaver.com/</a>
<b>Consolidated Rail Infrastructure and Safety Improvements (CRISI) Program</b>	F	December 1, 2022 by 2:00 PM	The program funds projects that improve the safety, efficiency, and reliability of intercity passenger and freight rail. \$1.4 billion is available for award. <a href="https://railroads.dot.gov/grants-loans/competitive-discretionary-grant-programs/consolidated-rail-infrastructure-and-safety-2">https://railroads.dot.gov/grants-loans/competitive-discretionary-grant-programs/consolidated-rail-infrastructure-and-safety-2</a>
<b>Safe Route to BART Grant Program</b>	L	Tentative January 2023	With \$1 billion of funding over the next five years, the program aims to reconnect communities that were previously cut off from economic opportunities by transportation infrastructure. Funding supports planning grants and capital construction grants, as well as technical assistance, to restore community connectivity through the removal, retrofit, mitigation, or replacement of eligible transportation infrastructure facilities. <a href="https://www.transportation.gov/grants/reconnecting-communities">https://www.transportation.gov/grants/reconnecting-communities</a>