

Contra Costa Countywide Comprehensive Transportation Safety Action Plan

Colin B. Clarke, AICP Planning Department





Contra Costa Countywide Comprehensive

- Transportation Safety
- Action Plan (CCTSAP)

What is the CCTSAP?

- Eligibility: Empower local agencies to be their own Lead Applicant for future USDOT Safe Streets for All (SS4A) implementation grants
- Compliance: Help local agencies meet state & federal safety requirements, e.g., policy
- Establish regional safety emphasis areas, strategies, and priorities
- Integrate state & local safety plans into a Regional Safety Plan using a consistent countywide **Safe Systems Approach**
- Adoption: Appendix includes jurisdiction-specific supplemental addendum to LRSP, and CCTSAP serves as attachment to 2021 Vision Zero Policy & Implementation Guide
- Continue community engagement coordinated with CTP update for 2050
- Identify multi-jurisdiction projects and grant-competitive countywide improvements that apply the <u>Countywide Vision</u> <u>Zero</u> toolbox
- Develop nationally competitive & locally implemented Multi-Jurisdiction Grant Applications



CCTSAP Milestones

Ē



Integrating the Safe System Approach

- 1. Prevent Fatal & Severe (Life-Altering) Injuries
- 2. Design for Human Mistakes
- 3. Reduce design speeds and speed limits to extent feasible
- 4. Shared Responsibility
- 5. Proactively Identify and Address Risk

*Severe Injury (in California): "An injury other than a fatal injury which results in broken bones, dislocated or distorted limbs, severe lacerations, or unconsciousness at or when taken from the collision scene. It does not include minor laceration."



Higher vehicle speeds significantly increase likelihood of fatalities

Our bodies can only tolerate so much physical impact. Even small increases in vehicle speed significantly escalate risk of severe injuries and fatalities. System designers and policymakers can use proven tools to encourage lower, safer speeds. Where there is a mix of people walking, biking and driving – **20 is plenty!**



Speed Kills



Ę

Likelihood of fatality for people walking if hit at these speeds *Source: AAA Foundation, Tefft, B.C. (2011)*



Figure 14: Example street designed to move traffic

Figure 15: Example street designed for all modes



- Wide, multilane road supports mobility for motorists
- Higher speeds increase risk of injury or fatality for pedestrians and bicyclists
- 3 Lack of pedestrian crossings and separated bike facilities increase pedestrian and bicyclist exposure to high speed vehicles
- (4) Parking lots along street frontage encourage driving

6

- (5) Lack of street lighting for pedestrians reduces visibility and safety
 - Long distances between signals limit crossing opportunities and increase speeds

Narrow road with dedicated multimodal facilities supports mobility for all users
Slower speed feels and is safer for all users
Shorter distances between signals increases crossing opportunities
Protected bike lanes reduce bicyclist exposure to motorists
Safer crossings reduce pedestrian exposure to motorists
Buildings along street frontage improves pedestrian environment
Street lighting for pedestrians increases visibility and safety

Source: NCHRP Research Report 1036: Roadway Cross-Section Reallocation: A Guide 7



Community Engagement

- Virtual Outreach Campaign and Online Survey
 - 317 Total Visits and 107 unique users
 - 58 comments, 54 survey responses
- In-person Community Outreach Events
 - Concord
 - Music & Market (August 2023)
 - Martinez
 - Farmers Market (August 2023)
 - Pleasant Hill
 - Tinkers & Thinkers (Sept 2023)
 - Clayton
 - Oktoberfest (Sept 2023)
 - Walnut Creek
 - Oktoberfest (October 2023)

Vision ZERC Locally Identified High-Frequency Collision Locations and Regional High-Injury Network (Central County)



Source: MTC Data Catalog, Accessed September 2023 (<u>EPC - Plan</u> <u>Bay Area 2050</u>), Equity Priority Communities (EPCs) are identified by MTC using data collected from American Community Survey, 2014-2018, High-Injury Network identified using TIMS 2013-2022 Collision Data via BayVIZ. Locally identified locations identified using SWITRS/TIMS collision data.



Top K+SI Collision Types (Central County)

Ē



Countywide: Common Emphasis Areas

Ę



Local Emphasis Areas



Vision ZERC

\$0 H



Economic Impact of Collisions per Capita

Source: BayViz, TIMS Data (2013-2022), Accessed June 2023

Economic Impact of Each Collision

Ē

Cost estimates were adjusted for inflation and from 2019 dollars (VZWG Nov 2023) to 2024 dollars (CBPAC 07/22/2024).

The chart shows a breakdown of factors involved in developing the estimated cost of one collision (national value).

Congestion Costs: The value of travel time delay for people who are not involved in traffic collisions, but who are delayed in the resulting traffic congestion from these collisions, as well as the value of excess fuel consumed, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and criteria pollutants emitted due to traffic congestion caused by the collision.

Emergency Services: Police department and fire department response costs.

Household Productivity: The present value of lost productive household activity, valued at the market price for hiring one person to accomplish the same tasks.

Insurance Administration: The administrative costs associated with processing insurance claims resulting from motor vehicle collisions and defense attorney costs.

Legal Costs: The legal fees and court costs associated with civil litigation resulting from traffic collisions. Excludes settlements. **Market Productivity**: The present discounted value (using a three-percent discount rate) of the lost wages and benefits over the victim's remaining life span.

Medical Care: The cost of all medical treatment associated with motor vehicle injuries including that given during ambulance transport. Medical costs include emergency room and inpatient costs, follow-up visits, physical therapy, rehabilitation, prescriptions, prosthetic devices, and home modifications.

Property Damage: The value of vehicles, cargo, roadway features, and other items damaged in traffic collisions.

Vocational Rehabilitation: The cost of job or career retraining required due to disability caused by motor vehicle injuries. These costs are grouped within Medical costs in this report.

Workplace Costs: The costs of workplace disruption that are due to the loss or absence of an employee. This includes the cost of retraining new employees, overtime required to accomplish work of the injured employee, and the administrative costs of processing personnel changes.

TRADITIONAL APPROACH

Traffic deaths are INEVITABLE

PERFECT human behaviour

Prevent COLLISIONS

INDIVIDUAL responsibility

Saving lives is **EXPENSIVE**

VISION ZERO

VS

Traffic deaths are **PREVENTABLE** Integrate **HUMAN FAILING** in approach Prevent **FATAL AND SEVERE CRASHES SYSTEMS** approach Saving lives is **NOT EXPENSIVE**



Adopted Policy: Reduce fatal & severe injury crashes by 50% by 2029 and <u>Zero</u> by 2034.

	Priority	Next Steps	Date
chedule		Authority Board (multi-jurisdiction electeds) review and receive draft final CCTSAP; approval and adoption	12/18/2024
		Countywide Vision Zero Working Group review draft CCTSAP; recommend PC review and CCTA Board approval and adoption	12/2024
		Planning Committee (electeds) review draft CCTSAP; recommend CCTA Board approval and adoption	12/05/2024
	2	Every Jurisdiction: adopt local Vision Zero policy, and CCTSAP, coordinated with CCTA and countywide template	past due
	3	Every Jurisdiction in coordination with CCTA: Implement regionally & locally identified safety projects ideally within CIP & repaving program and (Engineering & Non-Engineering) strategies using Safe Systems Approach and Countywide Vision Zero Toolbox	ongoing
	4	Every Jurisdiction: Establish a local Traffic Safety Working Group to implement LRSP and foster a multi-disciplinary "safety culture" (or clarify role of RTPC or CBPAC)	ASAP
	5	Every Jurisdiction in coordination in with CCTA: Participate in future grant cycles, leverage regional multi-jurisdiction partnerships	ongoing

Adopted Policy: Reduce fatal & severe injury crashes by 50% by 2029 and Zero by 2034.

Ē

Past Meetings	WCCTC Policy Advisory Committee (electeds) review draft CCTSAP; recommend PC review and CCTA Board approval and adoption		Vision			
	TransPAC Policy Advisory Committee (electeds) * review draft CCTSAP; recommend PC review and CCTA Board approval and adoption *		ZERO			
	TransPAC-TAC review draft CCTSAP 1		GIONTIAN			
	CBPAC review draft CBPP project list update1TransPlan Policy Advisory Committee (electeds) review draft CCTSAP; recommend PC review and CCTA Board approval and adoption1WCCTC-TAC review draft CCTSAP1SWAT Policy Advisory Committee (electeds) review draft CCTSAP; recommend PC review and CCTA Board approval and adoption1TransPAC-TAC review draft CCTSAP1Distributed to CCEAC1Distributed to WCCTC and Interested Parties1					
				TCC review draft CCTSAP		
				SWAT-TAC review draft CCTSAP		
				TransPlan-TAC review draft CCTSAP		
				CBPAC review draft CCTSAP		



Comments & Questions?



Contact Us



Colin B. Clarke, AICP Planning Department cclarke@ccta.net 925-256-4726

https://ccta.net/planning/countywide-vision-zero/